

"QUANTUM MECHANICAL BLACK HOLES: ISSUES AND RAMIFICATIONS"

B.G. Sidharth

Centre for Applicable Mathematics & Computer Sciences

B.M. Birla Science Centre, Hyderabad 500 063 (India)

Dedicated to the memory of my parents

Abstract

The recent model of Quantum Mechanical Black Holes is discussed and its implications for cosmology, particle structure, low dimensionality and other issues are examined.

1 The "Ganesha" Quantum Mechanical Black Hole Model

It was shown in a previous communication¹ that a typical elementary particle, the electron can be considered to be what was termed a Quantum Mechanical Black Hole (or QMBH), made up of a relativistic fluid of subconstituents, or "Ganeshas" described by the Kerr-Newman metric giving both its gravitational and electromagnetic fields and also the anomalous gyromagnetic ratio². Alternatively the QMBH could be described as a relativistic vortex in the hydrodynamical formulation. It was pointed out that the QMBH or vortex could also be thought of as a relativistic rotating shell reminiscent of the earlier Dirac model³.

¹Proceedings of the International Symposium on "Frontiers of Fundamental Physics", Universities Press (in press).

However the horizon of the Kerr-Newman Black Hole becomes in this case in an obvious notation, complex,

$$r_+ = \frac{GM}{c^2} + ib, b \equiv \left(\frac{G^2 Q^2}{c^8} + a^2 - \frac{G^2 M^2}{c^4} \right)^{1/2} \quad (1)$$

In the Quantum Mechanical domain, (1) can be seen to be meaningful, if we remember that , the position coordinate for a Dirac particle is given by⁴

$$x_i = (c^2 p_i H^{-1} t + a_i) + \frac{i}{2} c \hbar (\alpha_i - c p_i H^{-1}) H^{-1}, \quad (2)$$

where a_i is an arbitrary constant and $c\alpha_i$ is the velocity operator with eigen values $\pm c$. The real part in (2) is the usual position while the imaginary part arises from Zitterbewegung. Interestingly, in both (1) and (2), the imaginary part is of the order of $\frac{\hbar}{mc}$, the Compton wavelength, and leads to an immediate identification of these two equations. It was pointed out in ref.1 that our physical measurements are really averaged over a width of the order $\frac{\hbar}{mc}$. So also with time measurements. That is in the case of the QMBH (Quantum Mechanical Black Hole), obtained by identifying (1) and (2), the naked singularity is shielded by a Quantum Mechanical averaging which leads to real eigen values.

Further,⁵ at the Compton wavelength it is the negative energy two spinor χ that dominates, which under reflection, behaves like a psuedo-spinor. Hence the operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}$ acting on χ , a density of weight $N = 1$, shows up as the electromagnetic field with discrete charge: An electron can be associated with curvature and the double connectivity of spin half is reconciled with geometrodynamics. So we could treat the Quantum Mechanical Black Hole as a relativistic fluid of subconstituents (or Ganeshas). In a linearized theory (cf.ref.2) it was shown, (cf.ref.1), that not only do we recover the Quantum Mechanical spin but also that we get, the well known empirical result,

$$\frac{e^2}{Gm^2} \sim 10^{40} \quad (3)$$

The above model gives a rationale for the left handedness of the neutrino, which can be treated as an electron with vanishing mass so that the Compton wavelength becomes arbitrarily large. For such a particle, we encounter in effect the region within the Compton wavelength with the pseudo spinorial property discussed above, that is left handedness. Further, in the absence

of the spinorial Compton wavelength boundary, an anomalous Bosonic behaviour results.

It may be remarked that the electron, the positron and its special case the neutrino are the fundamental elementary particles which could be used to generate the mass spectrum of elementary particles^{6,7}. We observe that in this formulation the Compton wavelength emerges as a fundamental length, and so also $\hbar/mc^2$ emerges as a fundamental unit of time reminiscent of Caldirola's chronon formulation.

To see how QMBH can be formed, we observe that as is well known there is a zero point field (ZPF) and the energy of the fluctuations of the magnetic field in a region of length λ is given by² ($\vec{E}$ and $\vec{B}$ are electromagnetic field strengths)

$$B^2 \sim \frac{\hbar c}{\lambda^4} \quad (4)$$

If λ as in the QMBH is taken to be the Compton wavelength $\frac{\hbar}{mc}$, (4) gives us for the energy in this volume of the order λ^3 ,

$$\text{Total energy of QMBH} \sim \frac{\hbar c}{\lambda} = mc^2,$$

exactly as required. In other words the entire energy of the QMBH of mass m can be thought to have been generated by the fluctuations alone (cf.ref.5). There is a further justification for the above interpretation. Let us use in (4) the pion Compton wavelength as the cut off, because the pion is considered to be a typical elementary particle. Then we can recover the pion mass, m_π and moreover,

$$Nm_\pi = M, \quad (5)$$

where N is the number of elementary particles, typically pions, $N \sim 10^{80}$ and M is the mass of the universe, viz. 10^{56} gms . This also avoids certain divergences encountered in QED.

2 Cosmological Implications

The question that arises is, if we treat the entire universe as arising from fluctuations, is this picture consistent with observation? This is so. Equation (5) is the first of the correspondences. We can next deduce using the ZPF

spectral density, the relation (cf.ref.5), $M \propto R$ where R is the radius of the universe. This is quite correct and infact poses a puzzle, as is well known and it is to resolve this dependence that dark matter has been postulated whereas in our formulation the correct mass radius dependence has emerged quite naturally. Other interesting and consistent consequences are as follows (cf.ref.5):

$$\frac{GM}{c^2} = R, \sqrt{N} = \frac{2m_\pi c^2}{\hbar} \cdot T \quad (6)$$

where T is the age of the universe $\approx 10^{17} \text{secs}$, and,

$$H = \frac{Gm_\pi^3 c}{\hbar^2}, \quad (7)$$

It is remarkable that equation (7) is known to be true from a purely empirical standpoint is considered mysterious. We can also deduce that,

$$\frac{d^2 R}{dt^2} = H^2 R \equiv \Lambda R \quad (8)$$

That is, effectively there is a cosmic repulsion, which is not only consistent but numerically agrees exactly with the limit on this constant (cf.ref.2). To proceed we observe that the fluctuation of $\sim \sqrt{N}$ in the number of particles leads to (3),

$$N_1 = \frac{e^2}{Gm^2} \approx 10^{40},$$

with $N_1 = \sqrt{N}$, whence we get,

$$R = \sqrt{N}l, \frac{Gm}{lc^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}, G \propto T^{-1}, \quad (9)$$

as also the fact that $\dot{G}/G \sim \frac{1}{T}$, in reasonably good agreement.

Further, from the above we can deduce that the charge e is independant of time or N . Infact we can treat m (or l), c and $\hbar$ as the only microphysical constants and N as the only cosmological parameter, given which all other parameters follow.

We can now easily deduce from (6), (7) and (8), the following:

$$\rho \propto T^{-1}, \Lambda \propto T^{-2}, M = \frac{c^3}{GH} \quad (10)$$

a relation which appears in the Friedman model of the expanding universe (the critical closure density) and the Steady State model also with $k = 0$, that is in a flat universe. This is not surprising because Friedman Cosmology as here, is apart from isotropy, matter dominated. But in the Steady State theory, ρ remains constant while in our model it tends to zero from (10). In our model the equation (6) actually provides an arrow of time, atleast at the cosmological scale, in terms of the particle number N . Secondly, more of the fluctuationally created particles appear for example near Galactic centres, than in empty voids, reminiscent of the jets which are observed. Next, the farther out into space we look, the greater is G there. This poses a correction on the masses estimated by using the same value of G . Finally, the cosmic background radiation can be explained in terms of fluctuations of Boltzmann's H function (ref.5).

3 The structure of particles

Our next task is to exhibit how other particles can be built up from electrons and neutrinos (and their anti particles). The question is, are the groupings of particles as fundamental as the shapes of stellar constellations? It was shown in reference 7 that we can consider the proton to be made up of two positrons separated by a central electron at a distance $r = l$, the Compton wavelength of the proton, from the other two particles. It must be pointed out that this is borne out by deep inelastic scattering data. We next observe that the π^+ and π^- can be seen to be bound states of a positron or an electron and a neutrino respectively: It has been pointed out earlier that the neutrino has an infinite or very large Compton wavelength only when it is not in a bound state. When bound the neutrino's Compton wavelength is no longer infinite and it acquires a small mass m' , and in the QMBH model a small charge e' such that, by (3)

$$\frac{e'^2}{m'^2} = \frac{e^2}{m_e^2} = G.10^{40}$$

Let us now consider a bound state of an electron and a neutrino with the latter orbiting the former at a distance r which is the Compton wavelength of the resultant particle. Equating the electrostatic and centrifugal forces we

get,

$$r = \frac{e^2}{m_e c^2}$$

which is the Compton wavelength of the pion. Thus the resulting particle in the π^- and similarly the π^+ is a bound state of a positron and a neutrino. The π^0 is a positronium type of a bound state of an electron and a positron (cf.ref.1), on the lines of two photons. The decay modes of the three pions bear out the above scheme This apart when a beam of π^0 (or photons) encounters powerful electric or magnetic fields, we would expect the production of electrons and positrons. The muon as above could be considered to be made up of a neutrino orbiting the π^- . Remembering that the π^- itself is a composite particle, the muon Compton wavelength is 3/2 times roughly the π Compton wavelength in agreement with observation. Again the decay mode of the muon particles is in agreement with the suggested combinations. So also the other particle masses emerge (cf.ref.6).

4 Weak Interactions

We saw that the neutrino exhibits an anomalous Bosonic behaviour. If we use Bose statistics for neutrinos, it follows that,

$$\frac{m_\nu c^2}{k} \approx \sqrt{3}T \quad (11)$$

At the present background temperature of about $2^\circ K$, this gives a neutrino mass

$$10^{-9}m_e \leq m_\nu \leq 10^{-8}m_e \quad (12)$$

where m_e is the electron rest mass. It is remarkable that (11) is exactly what is required to be deduced theoretically to justify recent models of lepton conservation or in certain unification schemes. We now observe that the balance of the gravitational force and the Fermi energy of these cold background neutrinos, gives⁸,

$$\frac{GN_\nu m_\nu^2}{R} = \frac{N_\nu^{2/3} \hbar^2}{m_\nu R^2},$$

whence, $N_\nu \sim 10^{90}$

where N_ν is the number of neutrinos, which is correct.

If the new weak force is mediated by an intermediate particle of mass M and Compton wavelength L , we will get from the fluctuation of the particle number N , on using (12),

$$g^2 \sqrt{N_\nu} L^2 \approx m_\nu c^2 \sim 10^{-14}, \quad (13)$$

From (13), on using the value of N_ν , we get, $g^2 L^2 \sim 10^{-59}$. This agrees with experiment and the theory of massless particles the neutrino specifically acquiring mass due to interaction⁹, using the usual value of $M \sim 100 \text{ GeV}$. Additionally there could be a long range force also, a "weak electromagnetism" with coupling $\bar{g}$. This time, in place of (13), we would have,

$$\frac{\bar{g}^2 \sqrt{N_\nu}}{R} \approx 10^{-8} m_\nu c^2 \quad (14)$$

Comparing (14) with a similar equation for the electron, we get,

$$\bar{g}^2 / e^2 \sim 10^{-13}$$

so that the neutrino will appear with an electric charge a little less than a millionth that of the electron.

5 Other issues:

1. It was shown in reference 1, that at the electron's Compton wavelength, we encounter a QCD type potential. This can be approximated by a Gaussian type potential, $\frac{A}{r} e^{-\mu^2 r^2}$, $\mu = \frac{mc^2}{\hbar}$, whence the electron has a "size" of 10^{-21} cm in agreement with the results of Dehmelt and co-workers.
2. If, as in our model, spin half is fundamental, it is known that this leads to a space with minimum three dimensions (ref.2). However if we consider electrons or more generally Fermions in an idealised two dimensional scenario (thin films) or one dimensional scenario (thin wires) then we are near the Compton wavelength and one could expect neutrino like, bosonic behaviour, which is temperature independent. Indeed it is known that the Dirac equation in two or one dimensions, does indeed exhibit such features as masslessness and helicity. Interestingly one can expect similar behaviour at very low temperatures, because in this case Δp being negligible, Δx is

very large reminiscent of the neutrino having a very large Compton wavelength and suggestive of the superfluidity of Helium 3. Another anomalous situation is when we have an assembly of nearly mono energetic Fermions, in which case also $\Delta p \approx 0$. These situations have been described elsewhere: 3. The Planck length, $10^{-33}cms$ shows up as the gravitational radius of a Schwarzschild Black Hole, with mass $10^{-5}gms$. Here general relativistic phenomena which are purely classical meet purely quantum mechanical phenomena: For QMBH the radius is given by the Compton wavelength. Let us first consider the equation,

$$\frac{Gm}{c^2} \sim \frac{\hbar}{mc} \quad (15)$$

For the mass $m \sim 10^{-5}gms$, (15) is consistent. It shows that at the Planck scale the purely classical Schwarzschild radius equals the Quantum Mechanical Compton wavelength, which as pointed out earlier, is at the root of electromagnetism. This is borne out by the fact that at these mass and length scales, we have, instead of (3),

$$\frac{Gm^2}{e^2} \sim 1$$

Ofcourse such particles with life times $\sim \frac{\hbar}{mc^2} \sim 10^{-42}secs$ are at best virtual particles which provide the underpinning for the foam like space of geometrodynamics (cf.ref.2). Alternatively, one could think of these particles as fluctuations of $\sim N^{1/4}$, of the fluctuation of $\sim N^{1/2}$ in the number of particles. 4. We now start with the pre-space-time background field of the instantaneous fluid or Ganeshas. From here we get the probability for N of them to appear as $\alpha \exp[-\mu^2 N^2]$ This immediately ties up with the considerations of ref.5., if we identify N with x . The justification for this can be seen from the fact that the probability is non-negligible if

$$\Delta N \sim \frac{1}{\mu} \approx \frac{\hbar}{mc},$$

the Compton wavelength. Thus once again we conclude that a probabilistic fluctuational collection of instantaneous particlelets or "Ganeshas" from a pre-space-time background shows up as a particle in space-time: Probabilistic fluctuations lead to space-time and physics from pre-space-time. Interestingly the Compton wavelength is a cut off at which the above Gaussian

integral to this length balances, the integral from here to infinity.

5. We now observe that the Bohm formulation discussed in detail in reference 1 converges to Nelson's stochastic formulation¹⁰ in the context of the QMBH. Indeed Bohm's non local potential as also Nelson's three conditions merely describe the QMBH as a vortex, the mass being given by the self interaction, the radius of the vortex being the Compton wavelength. (cf.ref.1). We can get a clue to the origin of Quantum Mechanical fluctuations: Following Smolin we observe that the non local stochastic theory becomes the classical local theory in the thermodynamic limit, in which N the number of particles in the universe becomes infinitely large. However if N is finite but large, these fluctuations are of the order $1/\sqrt{N}$ of the dimensions of the system, the universe in this case. Indeed this is exactly so because, of equation (9). This provides a holistic rationale for the "spooky" non-locality of Quantum Theory.

6. It was shown in ref.¹ that at the Compton wavelength, instead of the electromagnetic (Kerr-Newman) field we get a QCD type potential,

$$-\frac{\alpha}{r} + \beta r$$

with the correct ratio α/β . As we have seen in this case we encounter two or one dimensions. So instead of the three stress momentum tensors T^n (each of which equals $\frac{1}{3}T^{00}$), which leads to the electrostatic potential, we have only two or one of them so that the charge will show up as $\frac{2}{3}e$ or $\frac{1}{3}e$, as in the case of quarks. From the above potential we can also recover the quark mass. It automatically follows that free quarks cannot be observed as we are dealing with confined systems.

Considering now a large Compton wavelength (which as shown leads to the neutrino's handedness), we have from the above potential,

$$g^2 \sim 6mc^2 \int T^{00} d^3x',$$

whence

$$G \equiv g^2/m^2 \sim 10^{43} gm^{-2}$$

Thus in addition the correct coupling constant of the weak interaction along with the additional feature of handedness emerges.

7. We could argue that the quantization of space-time which very roughly

leads to quantized wavelengths or frequencies is more fundamental than, quantization of energy. Indeed this can be shown to lead to Planck's law.

8. In the spirit of point 5 above, if we use (3) in the form given before (9) and (9) itself, we get,

$$R = \frac{e^2}{mc^2} \sqrt{N} = l \sqrt{N},$$

whence we can deduce that,

$$l = \frac{e^2}{mc^2},$$

which is correct. This shows that the microphysical constants are not all independent, e.g., the value of the Planck constant depends on e, m and c . We could now argue that ' e ' is given by the spinorial tensor density ($N = 1$ of section 1) and further that m follows in the QMBH model via, e.g., the pion Compton wavelength and classical electron radius equivalence (ref.1). Then, a maximal universal velocity is the only requirement for characterizing the entire universe, from the formation of electrons upwards.

References

- [1] B.G. Sidharth, "Quantum Mechanical Black Holes:Towards a Unification of Quantum Mechanics And General Relativity", IJPAP, 35, 1997.
- [2] C.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne, and J.A. Wheeler, "Gravitation", W.H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1973.
- [3] P.A.M. Dirac, Proc. Roy.Soc(London)A268, 1962.
- [4] P.A.M. Dirac, "The Principles of Quantum Mechanics", Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1958.
- [5] B.G. Sidharth, IJMPA, 12(27), 1997.
- [6] B.G. Sidharth, Y. Lobanov, "A Mass Spectrum Based on the QMBH Model", B.M. Birla Science Centre Technical Report, BSC-CAMCS-3-12-97.
- [7] B.G. Sidharth, Mod. Phys. Lett. A., 12(2), 1997.

- [8] S. Hayakawa, Suppl of PTP, Commemorative Issue, 1965.
- [9] C. Sivaram, Astrophysics and Space Science, 88, 1982.
- [10] L. Smolin, in "Quantum Concepts in Space and Time", Eds., R. Penrose and C.J. Isham, OUP, Oxford, 1986.